CJC: KG 82022-0101

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 1 2 2002 In re Application of Nicholas P. MARCHESANI Serial No. 09/168,072 Examiner: W. Pierce Filed: October 8, 1998 Group Art Unit: 3711 For: LOW/MAX CARD GAME METHOD AND APPARATUS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination (RCE) mailed July 29, 2002 (a copy of which is attached), Applicant is herewith submitting a copy of the previously filed amendment. Applicant requested in the Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) Request filed April 30, 2002 that the unentered amendment previously filed on September 10, 2001 under 37 CFR § 1.116 in the prior nonprovisional application be entered.

HOGAN & HARTSON

1 .

Kara î

Should there be any questions relating to this transmittal, please telephone Kevin Shaw at (202) 637-6466.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Celine Jimenez Crowson Registration No. 40,357

Kevin G. Shaw

Registration No. 43,110 Attorneys for Applicant Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P.

555 13th St., N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Telephone: (202) 637-5703 Customer No.: 24633

Dated: September 9, 2002

HOGAN & HARTSON

LL.P.

COLUMBIA SQUARE

555 THIRTEENTH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004-1109



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/168,072 10/08/1998 NICK MARCHESANI 2413-101A 2085 82022-0101 24633 7590 07/29/2002 **HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. HOGAN & HARTSON LLP EXAMINER** IP GROUP, COLUMBIA SQUARE PIERCE, WILLIAM M 555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W. AUG 0 1 2002 WASHINGTON, DC 20004

IP DOCKETING DEPT.

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

FECEIVED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

3711

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents atent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY, DOCKET NO./TITLE



	SEP 0 9 2002 SEP 0 9 2002 DATE MAILED: NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)	
	NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)	
	ne request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on 4/36/0 2 is proper for reason(s) indicated below:	
	 Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application for a design patent. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d). 	
	 Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application that was filed before June 8, 1995. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d). 	
	3. Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application unless prosecution in the application is closed. If the RCE was accompanied by a reply to a non-final Office action, the reply will be entered and considered under 37 CFR 1.111. If the RCE was <u>not</u> accompanied by a reply, the time period set forth in the last Office action continues to run from the mailing date of that action.	
	4. The request was not filed before payment of the issue fee, and no petition under 37 CFR 1.313 was granted. If this application has not yet issued as a patent, applicant may wish to consider filing either a petition under 37 CFR 1.313 to withdraw this application from issue, or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).	
	5. The request was not filed before abandonment of the application. The application was abandoned, or proceedings terminated on Applicant may wish to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 to revive this abandoned application.	
	6. The request was not accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.	
No the	7. The request was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice. 7. Submission No.2 on Paper #19 is unrecessary. Paper No.17 does what No.2 interded to the If a request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) has been filed in a utility or plant application (including a previously filed CPA) that was filed on or after May 29, 2000, the quest for a CPA has been treated as a RCE because the CPA practice no longer applies to such plication. The constructive RCE, however, is improper for reason(s) indicated above.	
A copy of this notice <u>MUST</u> be returned with any reply.		
Dire	ect the reply and any questions about this notice to:	

Direct the reply and any questions about	this notice to:
Ex Pierce	, Examining Group
(703) 30 <u>8 - 355/</u> . FORM PTO-2051 (Rev. 3/2001)	